arXiv:1611.06566v1 [math.PR] 20 Nov 2016

Asymptotic properties of functionals of increments of a continuous semimartingale with stochastic sampling times

M. Levine *
Department of Statistics, Purdue University, 250 N. University St., West Lafayette, IN 47907
X. Wang
Department of Statistics, Purdue University, 250 N. University St., West Lafayette, IN 47907
J. Zou
Department of Math. Sciences, Worcester Polytechnic Institute,100 Institute Road Worcester, MA 0160

Abstract

This paper is concerned with asymptotic behavior of a variety of functionals of increments of continuous semimartingales. Sampling times are assumed to follow a rather general discretization scheme. If an underlying semimartingale is thought of as a financial asset price process, a general sampling scheme like the one employed in this paper is capable of reflecting what happens whenever the financial trading data are recorded in a tick-by-tick fashion. A law of large numbers and a central limit theorem are proved after an appropriate normalization. One application of our result is an extension of the realized kernel estimator of integrated volatility to the case of random sampling times.

Keywords: central limit theorem, continuous semimartingale, law of large numbers, stochastic sampling times.

1 Introduction

One of the common tasks in the theory of stochastic processes is to estimate the parameters of a particular process. As an alternative, nonparametric estimation, such as that of spot or integrated quadratic volatility, may need to be performed as well. Over the past decade, the field of volatility modeling and analysis for high-frequency financial data has developed very fast. A number of methods have been introduced to estimate the quadratic variation of a price process from high-frequency data. Some of the commonly used methods include realized volatility [Andersen et al., 2007, 2003, Barndorff-Nielsen and Shephard, 2002], two-time scale realized volatility [Zhang et al., 2005], multi-scale realized volatility [Zhang, 2006], realized kernel volatility [Barndorff-Nielsen et al., 2008] [Barndorff-Nielsen et al., 2011], and pre-averaging realized volatility [Christensen et al., 2010, Jacod et al., 2009]. Efficient methods were introduced in [Reiß et al., 2011] and [Bibinger et al., 2014]. A practically very important case of endogenous sampling has been considered in [Li et al., 2013], [Koike, 2014], [Koike et al., 2016], and [Vetter and Zwingmann,

2016]. These methods have been shown to be successful in applications; moreover, they have significantly improved our understanding of time-varying volatility of stochastic processes as well as the ability to predict future volatility.

Most of the time, a stochastic process X_t is observed at discrete times that are, more often than not, non-equispaced. Moreover, in many cases, such as that of various asset price processes in financial mathematics, the frequency of sampling is extremely high and occurs on a tick-by-tick basis. The result is a random high-frequency sampling that we are going to consider here. It has been understood already some time ago that the random high-frequency sampling hash to be taken into account when performing non-parametric estimation and inference. For example, [Barndorff-Nielsen et al., 2008] noted that the regular realized kernel estimator of quadratic volatility becomes inconsistent under a typical random high-frequency sampling scheme. We only consider the so-called finite horizon case, where the observation window is a fixed time interval $[0, T]$ for some $T > 0$. The sampling times are $t(n, i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and the duration time between the two consecutive sampling times $\tau(n, i) = t(n, i) - t(n, i - 1)$ goes to zero as the sample size goes to infinity. In order to conduct any nonparametric inference, one typically needs, as a first step, the consistency of various functionals of increments of the process X_t. Usually just consistency is not enough, and one also need rates of convergence and an associated central limit theorem. To obtain these results, certain restrictions on the nature of sampling process have to be imposed. Typically, these assumptions are expressed in terms of either $\pi_T^n = \sup_{i=1,\cdots,N_T^n} \tau(n, i)$ or the variance of a duration time $\tau(n, i)$.

The main contribution of this paper is that we obtain both a law of large numbers and a central limit theorem for general functionals of increments of a continuous semimartingale process without noise under a random sampling process. Unlike some recent publications in this area, e.g., [Li et al., 2013], [Koike, 2014], [Koike et al., 2016], and [Vetter and Zwingmann, 2016], we do not consider a practically rather important case of endogenous sampling. At the same time, however, [Li et al., 2013] only considers specific functionals, such as the quadratic variation, tricity or quarticity. The same remark applies to [Koike, 2014] (who considers only the so-called pre-averaged Hayashi estimator), and [Koike et al., 2016]. The last three publications also, unlike this manuscript, consider the process that is contaminated with noise. [Vetter and Zwingmann, 2016], like us, only works in the "no noise" case, but only considers a specific estimator (realized volatility). No specific distribution for the duration times is assumed as well.

Our results are related to the law of large numbers and the central limit theorem found in Chapter 14 of [Jacod and Protter, 2011]. However, there are several substantial differences. First, our law of large numbers uses the so-called normalization with the expected duration time. [Jacod and Protter, 2011] uses either inside normalization with the duration time or the outside normalization with the duration time proper (and not its expectation, as we do). This change leads to several differences in the structure of our proof of the law of large numbers compared to the proof of Theorem 14.2.1 in [Jacod and Protter, 2011]. Second, our central limit theorem uses different assumptions about the nature of the function that defines functionals of Brownian semimartingales

that we analyze. We give a more detailed analysis of these assumptions when stating our central limit theorem.

The paper is structured as follows. Section (2) is concerned with the detailed model set-up. Section (3) discusses the law of large numbers and the central limit theorem. For brevity reasons, only sketches of proofs are given. The full proofs can be found in the technical report [Levine et al., 2015a]

2 Model Set-up

1. Price model:
Assume that we have a probability space $(\Omega, P, \mathcal{F})$ and an assigned filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ containing all the price process related information up to time t; also, let $\{W_t\}$ be a Brownian motion defined on this space. Let $X_t = ln(S_t)$ be the log price process such that $dX_t = b_t dt + \sigma_t dW_t$ with a drift process b_t and the volatility process σ_t. We assume that the drift process b_t and the volatility process σ_t are adapted to $\mathcal{F}_t$. For brevity, we denote the integrated volatility $\text{IV} = \int_0^T \sigma_t^2\, dt$.

Throughout this paper, we will use several important assumptions on the nature of the process X_t. For convenience, we start with enumerating all of them in one location.

1. **Assumption A:**
   Given any finite $T > 0$, we assume that the spot volatility σ_t^2, $0 \leq t \leq T$ can be bounded with probability 1:
   $$P\{\sigma_t^2 \leq M_T,\ 0 \leq t \leq T\} = 1$$
   where M_T is a random variable with finite fourth moment:
   $$E(M_T^4) < \infty$$

2. **Assumption B:**
   We also assume that the drift b_t, $0 \leq t \leq T$ can be bounded with probability 1:
   $$P\{|b_t| \leq A_T, 0 \leq t \leq T\} = 1$$
   for any fixed $T > 0$ where A_T is a random variable with finite fourth moment:
   $$E(A_T^4) < \infty$$

   Assumption H:
   Let X_t be a continuous Itô semimartingale with the representation
   $$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b_s ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s$$
   where W_t is a standard Wiener process and b_t, σ_t are locally bounded. Moreover, the volatility process σ_t is also an Itô semimartingale of the form
   $$\sigma_t = \sigma_0 + \int_0^t \tilde{b}_s ds + \int_0^t \tilde{\sigma} dW_s + \tilde{\kappa}(\tilde{\delta}) \star (\underline{\mu} - \underline{\nu})_t + \tilde{\kappa}'(\tilde{\delta}) \star \underline{\mu}_t$$

where $\underline{\mu}$ is a Poisson random measure on $(0,\infty)\times E$ with intensity measure $\underline{\nu}(dt,dx)=dt\otimes\lambda(dx)$, where λ is a σ-finite and infinite measure without atom on an auxiliary measurable set $(E,\mathcal{E})$. $\tilde{\kappa}$ is a truncation function and $\tilde{\kappa}'(x)=x-\tilde{\kappa}(x)$. $\tilde{\delta}(\omega,t,x)$ is a predictable function on $\Omega\times R_+\times E$. Moreover, we assume that

(a) Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be a (non-random) nonnegative function such that $\int_E(\tilde{\gamma}(x)^2\wedge 1)\lambda(dx)<\infty$. Then, the processes $\tilde{b}_t(\omega)$ and $\sup_{x\in E}\frac{\|\tilde{\delta}(\omega,t,x)\|}{\tilde{\gamma}(x)}$ are locally bounded, and

(b) All paths $t\to b_t(\omega)$, $t\to\tilde{\sigma}_t(\omega)$, $t\to\tilde{\delta}(\omega,t,x)$ are right-continuous with left limits (càdlàg).

3. **Trading time model: Assumption T**
To study asymptotic properties, we will let the frequency of observations go to infinity. Hence at each stage n, we have strictly increasing observation times $(t(n,i):i\geq 0)$, and without restriction we may assume $t(n,0)=0$. We further denote

$$\tau(n,i)=t(n,i)-t(n,i-1)$$
$$N_t^n=\sup(i:t(n,i)\leq t)$$
$$E\left[\tau(n,i)\right]=\Delta_n$$

Of course, $\Delta_n=o(1)$ as $n\to\infty$; we also assume that $Var(\tau(n,i))=o(\Delta_n^{-(2+\alpha)})$ for any $\alpha>0$. In addition, we also need to require that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_t^n}\left(t(n,i+1)-t(n,i)\right)^2=O_p(n^{-1}) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$N_t^n=O_p(n) \tag{2.2}$$

Remark 2.1. *Our assumption* (2.1) *is, effectively, a special version of the Assumption (D-q) in [Aït-Sahalia and Jacod, 2014] with* $q=2$ *and* $\delta_n=n^{-1}$. *The assumption* (2.2) *is, in turn, also a special case of the Assumption (D-q) in [Aït-Sahalia and Jacod, 2014] with* $q=0$. *The purpose of these two assumptions is to ensure that sampling times* $t(n,i)$ *are distributed "sufficiently evenly" in time in a certain sense.*

Remark 2.2. *Note that this assumption includes, for example, the Poisson model in which the exponential distribution is commonly used to model duration times. Historically, the assumption of exponential distribution for duration times was quite popular. As an example, a well known model of [Cont et al., 2010] models the trading times as a simple Poisson process which means that the trading durations are i.i.d. exponentially distributed with some parameter* λ. *Other alternative models of trading times may assume that the trading durations are correlated over time as in, for example, the autoregressive conditional duration (ACD) model introduced by*

[Engle and Russell, 1998]. We start with a relatively simple assumption of independent duration times first. We will consider possible generalization to the ACD model as a next step in our research.

Remark 2.3. *It seems to be more common to impose regularity assumptions on the random sampling scheme in terms of π_T^n as defined earlier. For example, [Hayashi and Yoshida, 2008] assumes that (for a bivariate and nonsynchoronous process) $\pi_T^n = o_p(n^{3/4-\alpha})$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Instead, we request that the variance of duration times goes to zero as the sample size goes to infinity at a sufficiently fast rate. As an example, a conceptually similar condition (termed $E(q)$ there) can be found in [Hayashi et al., 2011]. First, denote r_n a sequence that goes to infinity as $n \to \infty$. Then, when $q = 2$, that condition specifies that there exists a process $G(2)^n$, that is defined as the sequence of (properly normalized) conditional second moments $G(2)^n = r_n^2 \mathbb{E}\left(\tau(n,i)^p | \mathcal{F}_{t(n,i-1)}\right)$, with "gaps" between $t(n,i)$ and $t(n,i-1)$ "filled" in some way, that converges uniformly in probability for any $p \in [0,2]$ to a non-degenerate cádlág process $G(p)$.*

Remark 2.4. *From now on, for convenience purposes, we use t_i^n instead of $t(n,i)$, especially when it is a subscript itself. On occasion, whenever it does not cause any confusion, the index n is omitted and t_i^n is simply denoted t_i. All of the above also applies to $\tau(n,i)$.*

Finally, the last assumption concerns the relationship between transaction times t_i^n and the price process X_t.

4. **Independence Assumption C:**
Let $\{\mathcal{N}_t^n\}_{t\geq 0}$ be the filtration generated by transaction times $0 \leq t_1^n, \ldots, t_{N_t^n}^n \leq t$ for some $0 \leq t \leq T$. We assume that $\mathcal{N}_t^n$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_t$.

3 Laws of large numbers (LLNs) for increments of functions of semimartingales

Our first goal is to obtain a uniform law of large numbers for normalized increments of the semimartingale process $X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b_s ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s$ when all of the durations $\{\tau_i^n\}_{i=2}^{N_t^n}$ satisfy Assumption T. We denote $\Delta_i^n X = X_{t_i} - X_{t_{i-1}}$ the increments of this process. For an arbitrary function f, functions of the increments of X_t are $V(f)_t = \Sigma_{i=1}^{N_t^n} f(\Delta_i^n X)$ and, in the normalized form, $V'(f)_t = \Sigma_{i=1}^{N_t^n} f(\Delta_i^n X/\sqrt{\tau_i})$. We also define the so-called approximate variation of the pth order for the process X_t as $\tilde{X}_t$ as $B(p)_t = \Sigma_{i=1}^{N_t^n} |\Delta_i^n X|^p$. Finally, for brevity, we define $\rho_\sigma^{\otimes k}(f) = E[f(X)]$ where $X = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k) \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$ and I is a $k \times k$ identity matrix; in the special case when $k = 1$, we will use the notation $\rho_\sigma(f)$.

Before formulating our LLN, we need to define the idea of uniform convergence in probability.

Definition 3.1. *A sequence of jointly measurable stochastic processes ξ_t^n is said to converge locally uniformly in probability to a process ξ_t if* $\lim_{n\to\infty} P\left(\sup_{s\leq t}|\xi_t^n - \xi_t| > K\right) = 0$ *for any $K > 0$ and any finite t. This convergence is commonly denoted $\xi_t^n \overset{u.c.p.}{\to} \xi_t$.*

Now we can state the following uniform law of large numbers.

Theorem 3.2. *Let f be a continuous function on R^k for some $k \geq 1$, which satisfies*

$$|f(x_1, \ldots, x_k)| \leq K_0 \prod_{j=1}^{k}(1 + \|x_j\|^p)$$

for some $p > 0$ and K_0. Define

$$V'(f,k)_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t^n} f\left(\Delta_i^n X/\sqrt{\tau_i}, \cdots, \Delta_{i+k-1}^n X/\sqrt{\tau_{i+k-1}}\right).$$

Then,

$$\Delta_n V'(f,k)_t \xrightarrow{u.c.p.} \int_0^t \rho_{\sigma_u}^{\otimes k}(f)du.$$

The proof of this result is based on the classical localization procedure described in detail in [Jacod, 2012]. It states that it is possible, first, to prove the statement assuming that, for some constant Λ and all (ω, t, x), we have

$$\|b_t(\omega)\| \leq \Lambda, \ |\sigma_t(\omega)\| \leq \Lambda, \|X_t(\omega)\| \leq \Lambda \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\|\tilde{b}_t(\omega)\| \leq \Lambda, \|\tilde{\sigma}_t(\omega)\| \leq \Lambda, \|\tilde{\delta}(\omega, t, x)\| \leq \Lambda(\tilde{\gamma}(x) \wedge 1). \tag{3.2}$$

When that is done, the statement is extended to a more general situation through the use of a Lemma 3.14 in [Jacod, 2012], p. 218.

4 Main central limit theorem

Now, we have to obtain the CLT for the increments of Y_t. A major problem in doing so is to be able to characterize the quadratic variation of the limiting process. As usual, we start with the necessary notation. Consider a sequence $(U_i)_{i\geq 1}$ of independent $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ variables. Recall that, for a function g, $\rho_\sigma(g) = E(g(\sigma U_1))$. Also recall that a function of k-dimensional argument $f(x_1, \ldots, x_k) : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ exhibits polynomial growth if $|f(x_1, \ldots, x_k)| \leq K_0 \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + |x_j|)^p$ for a positive constant K_0 and some positive p. For such a function f on $\mathbb{R}^k$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} R_\sigma(f,k) &= \sum_{l=-k+1}^{k-1} E\left[f(\sigma U_k, \cdots, \sigma U_{2k-1}) f(\sigma U_{l+k}, \cdots, \sigma U_{l+2k-1})\right] \\ &- (2k-1)E^2\left[f(\sigma U_1, \cdots, \sigma U_k)\right] \\ &= \sum_{l=-k+1}^{k-1} E\left[f(\sigma U_k, \cdots, \sigma U_{2k-1}) f(\sigma U_{l+k}, \cdots, \sigma U_{l+2k-1})\right] - (2k-1)\left[\rho_\sigma^{\otimes k}(f)\right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *Let f satisfy either one of the two assumptions stated below.*

- *(a) f is a polynomial function on $\mathbb{R}^k$ for some $k \geq 1$, which is globally even, that is*
$$f(-x_1, \cdots, -x_l, \cdots, -x_k) = f(x_1, \cdots, x_l, \cdots, x_k)$$
- *(b) f is a continuous and once differentiable function with all derivatives exhibiting polynomial growth on $\mathbb{R}^k$ for some $k \geq 1$, which is even in each argument, i.e.*
$$f(x_1, \cdots, -x_l, \cdots, x_k) = f(x_1, \cdots, x_l, \cdots, x_k), \quad \forall\ 1 \leq l \leq k$$

If X is continuous, then the process

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_n}} \left(\Delta_n V'(f,k)_t - \int_0^t \rho_{\sigma_u}^{\otimes k}(f) du \right)$$

converge stably in law to a continuous process $U'(f,k)$ defined on an extension $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P})$ of the space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. Such a process $U'(f,k)$ is a centered Gaussian $\mathbb{R}^1$-valued process with independent increments that, conditionally on the σ-field $\mathcal{F}$, satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{E}(U'(f,k)_t U'(f,k)_t) = \int_0^t R_{\sigma_u}(f,k) du + M \int_0^t \left[\rho_{\sigma_u}^{\otimes k}(f) \right]^2 du \\
&= \sum_{l=-k+1}^{k-1} \int_0^t E\left[f(\sigma_u U_k, \cdots, \sigma_u U_{2k-1}) f(\sigma_u U_{l+k}, \cdots, \sigma_u U_{l+2k-1}) \right] du \\
&- (2k - 1 - M) \int_0^t \left[\rho_{\sigma_u}^{\otimes k}(f) \right]^2 du = \int_0^t R'_{\sigma_u}(f,k) du
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R'_{\sigma_u}(f,k) &= \sum_{l=-k+1}^{k-1} E\left[f(\sigma_u U_k, \cdots, \sigma_u U_{2k-1}) f(\sigma_u U_{l+k}, \cdots, \sigma_u U_{l+2k-1}) \right] \\
&- (2k - 1 - M) \left[\rho_{\sigma_u}^{\otimes k}(f) \right]^2
\end{aligned}$$

M is a constant defined as $M = Var(\tau_i^n)/\Delta_n^2$, and $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ refers to the expectation defined on an extended probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P})$. If $S_\sigma(f,k)$ is the square root of $R'_\sigma(f,k)$, then there exists a 1-dimensional Brownian motion B on an extension of the space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, independent of $\mathcal{F}$, such that $U'(f,k)$ is given by

$$U'(f,k)_t = \int_0^t S_{\sigma_u}(f,k) dB_u$$

Remark 4.2. *This central limit theorem is related to the Theorem 14.3.2 in [Jacod and Protter, 2011]. The assumptions on the function f that we use are different from those used in the central limit theorem of [Jacod and Protter, 2011]. Indeed, [Jacod and Protter, 2011] assume that the function f is continuous and globally even. Because we need to use use our central limit theorem for a specific goal of investigating asymptotics of the modified realized kernel estimator that we are proposing in a separate manuscrupt [Levine et al., 2015b], we are using a different assumption on the function f. More specifically, we consider two cases in our central limit theorem: (a) f is a polynomial function that is globally even (b) f is a continuous and once differentiable function with all derivatives exhibiting polynomial growth that is even in each argument. The case (b) is rather different from that of Theorem 14.3.2 in [Jacod and Protter, 2011] and more general. This assumption implies different proof techniques from those used in [Jacod and Protter, 2011]*

Sketch of the proof:

Remember that t_i^n is the time of ith transaction within the interval $[0,T]$. We define first the increment of the Brownian motion process on an interval $[t_{i+l-1}^n, t_{i+l}^n]$ for $1 \leq l \leq n-i$, as $\Delta_{i+l}^n W = W_{t_{i+l}^n} - W_{t_{i+l-1}^n}$. Then, the scaled and normalized increment of W_t on that interval is defined as $\beta_{i,l}^n = \sigma_{t_{i-1}^n} \Delta_{i+l}^n W / \sqrt{\tau_{i+l}}$.

The basic idea of the proof is to replace each normalized increment $\Delta_{i+l}^n X / \sqrt{\tau_i}$ by $\beta_{i,l}^n$, and show that CLT is true for that simpler process, then justify this replacement by showing that the simpler process converges to the original process we are really interested in. Technically, the proof can be split into a sequence of lemmas that are proved separately. Then, they are combined to produce a proof of the general result. To state these lemmas, we need the following definitions:

$$\zeta_i^n = f(\Delta_i^n X / \sqrt{\tau_i}, \cdots, \Delta_{i+k-1}^n X / \sqrt{\tau_{i+k-1}}),$$

$$\zeta_i^{'n} = f(\beta_{i,0}^n, \cdots, \beta_{i,k-1}^n),$$

$$\zeta_i^{''n} = \zeta_i^n - \zeta_i^{'n}$$

Now, we can state all of the needed lemmas.

Lemma 4.3.

$$\sqrt{\Delta_n} \sum_{i=1}^{N_t^n} \left(\zeta_i^{''n} - E_{i-1}^n(\zeta_i^{''n}) \right) \xrightarrow{u.c.p} 0$$

Lemma 4.4.

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_n}} \left(\Delta_n \sum_{i=1}^{N_t^n} \rho_{\sigma_{t_{i-1}^n}}^{\otimes k}(f) - \int_0^t \rho_{\sigma_u}^{\otimes k}(f) du \right) \xrightarrow{s} Z_t$$

where Z_t is a Gaussian random variable $N\left(0, M \int_0^t \left[\rho_{\sigma_s}^{\otimes k}(f)\right]^2 ds\right)$, and $M = Var(\tau_i)/\Delta_n^2$

Lemma 4.5. *The process*

$$\bar{U}_t^n = \sqrt{\Delta_n}\sum_{i=1}^{N_t^n}\left(\zeta_i'^n - \rho^{\otimes k}_{\sigma_{t_{i-1}^n}}(f)\right)$$

converges stably in law to the process $U(f,k)$ defined on an extension $(\tilde{\Omega},\tilde{\mathcal{F}},\tilde{P})$ of the space $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$. The process $U(f,k)$ is a centered Gaussian $\mathbb{R}^1$-valued process with independent increments that, conditionally on the σ-field $\mathcal{F}$, satisfies

$$\tilde{E}(U(f,k)_t U(f,k)_t) = \int_0^t R_{\sigma_u}(f,k)du$$

Lemma 4.6.

$$\sqrt{\Delta_n}\sum_{i=1}^{N_t^n} E_{i-1}^n(\zeta_i''^n) \xrightarrow{u.c.p} 0$$

Once we prove these four lemmas, then our Theorem (4.1) follows rather easily. Indeed, as long as the limiting terms in Lemma 4.4. and Lemma 4.5. are independent (and we establish that independence as part of the proof),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_n}}\left(\Delta_n V'(f,k)_t - \int_0^t \rho^{\otimes k}_{\sigma_u}(f)du\right) = \sqrt{\Delta_n}V'(f,k)_t - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_n}}\int_0^t \rho^{\otimes k}_{\sigma_u}(f)du\\
&= \sqrt{\Delta_n}\sum_{i=1}^{N_t^n}\zeta_i^n - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_n}}\int_0^t \rho^{\otimes k}_{\sigma_u}(f)du = \sqrt{\Delta_n}\sum_{i=1}^{N_t^n}\left(\zeta_i'^n + \zeta_i''^n\right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_n}}\int_0^t \rho^{\otimes k}_{\sigma_u}(f)du\\
&= \sqrt{\Delta_n}\sum_{i=1}^{N_t^n}\left(\zeta_i'^n + \zeta_i''^n\right) - \sqrt{\Delta_n}\sum_{i=1}^{N_t^n}\rho^{\otimes k}_{\sigma_{t_{i-1}^n}}(f)du\\
&+ \sqrt{\Delta_n}\sum_{i=1}^{N_t^n}\rho^{\otimes k}_{\sigma_{t_{i-1}^n}}(f)du - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_n}}\int_0^t \rho^{\otimes k}_{\sigma_u}(f)du\\
&= \bar{U}_t^n + \sqrt{\Delta_n}\sum_{i=1}^{N_t^n}\left(\zeta_i''^n - E_{i-1}^n(\zeta_i''^n) + E_{i-1}^n(\zeta_i''^n)\right)\\
&+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_n}}\left(\Delta_n\sum_{i=1}^{N_t^n}\rho^{\otimes k}_{\sigma_{t_{i-1}^n}}(f)du - \int_0^t \rho^{\otimes k}_{\sigma_u}(f)du\right) = \bar{U}_t^n + M_t^n + Z_t
\end{aligned}$$

where M_t^n represents all the terms in the above equation besides $\bar{U}_t^n$ and Z_t. Due to Lemmas 4.3. and 4.6., M_t^n converges to 0 uniformly in probability.

5 Discussion

In this paper, we obtain some general asymptotic results for normalized functionals of increments of a continuous semimartingale process under a broad ranging random sampling scheme. In our approach, the random duration times τ_i between the two successive trading times t_{i-1} and t_i are not specified down to a specific distribution. Rather, we only impose a general restriction on how the largest and smallest duration time behaves in large samples; this assumption implies, in turn, the rate at which both the expected value and the variance of a duration time goes to zero as the sample size $n \to \infty$. Such a broad random discretization scheme includes, as a special case, the classical Poisson arrival scheme. Through delicate treatment of the functionals of the increments of the stochastic process for asset returns and duration times, we proved some important asymptotic results for the new estimator including the law of large numbers and the central limit theorem. This work builds the theoretical foundation for statistical estimation and inference on continuous semimartingales under wide ranging selection of random discretization schemes.

There is a number of possible extensions that could be considered as part of the future research. As an example, in this paper it is assumed that the stochastic trading times t_i are independent of the log price process Y_t. This is somewhat restrictive from the application viewpoint; thus, another step ahead would be to obtain a similar law of large numbers and the central limit theorem under a reasonable dependence assumption between the two. Another interesting extension that could be considered is the possibility of dependence between duration times in our random discretization scheme.

6 Acknowledgements

M. Levine's research has been partially supported by the NSF-DMS grant # DMS-1208994.

Bibliography

Aït-Sahalia, Y. and Jacod, J. (2014). *High-frequency financial econometrics*. Princeton University Press.

Andersen, T. G., Bollerslev, T., and Diebold, F. X. (2007). Roughing it up: Including jump components in measuring, modeling and forecasting asset return volatility. *Review of Economics and Statistics.*, 89(4):701–720.

Andersen, T. G., Bollerslev, T., Diebold, F. X., and Labys, P. (2003). Modeling and forecasting realized volatility. *Econometrica*, 71(2):579–625.

Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E., Hansen, P. R., Lunde, A., and Shephard, N. (2008). Designing realized kernels to measure the ex post variation of equity prices in the presence of noise. *Econometrica*, 76(6):1481–1536.

Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E., Hansen, P. R., Lunde, A., and Shephard, N. (2011). Multivariate realised kernels: consistent positive semi-definite estimators of the covariation of equity prices with noise and non-synchronous trading. *Journal of Econometrics*, 162(2):149–169.

Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E. and Shephard, N. (2002). Econometric analysis of realized volatility and its use in estimating stochastic volatility models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, 64(2):253–280.

Bibinger, M., Hautsch, N., Malec, P., and Reiss, M. (2014). Estimating the quadratic covariation matrix from noisy observations: local method of moments and efficiency. *The Annals of Statistics*, 42(4):1312–1346.

Christensen, K., Kinnebrock, S., and Podolskij, M. (2010). Pre-averaging estimators of the ex-post covariance matrix in noisy diffusion models with non-synchronous data. *Journal of Econometrics*, 159(1):116–133.

Cont, R., Stoikov, S., and Talreja, R. (2010). A stochastic model for order book dynamics. *Operations research*, 58(3):549–563.

Engle, R. F. and Russell, J. R. (1998). Autoregressive conditional duration: a new model for irregularly spaced transaction data. *Econometrica*, pages 1127–1162.

Hayashi, T., Jacod, J., Yoshida, N., et al. (2011). Irregular sampling and central limit theorems for power variations: The continuous case. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat*, 47(4):1197–1218.

Hayashi, T. and Yoshida, N. (2008). Asymptotic normality of a covariance estimator for nonsynchronously observed diffusion processes. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 60(2):367–406.

Jacod, J. (2012). Statistics and high frequency data. In Kessler, M., Lindner, A., and Sørensen, M., editors, *Statistical methods for stochastic differential equations*, volume 124. CRC Press.

Jacod, J., Li, Y., Mykland, P. A., Podolskij, M., and Vetter, M. (2009). Micro-structure noise in the continuous case: The pre-averaging approach. *Stochastic Processes and Their Applications*, 119:2249–2276.

Jacod, J. and Protter, P. E. (2011). *Discretization of processes*, volume 67. Springer Science & Business Media.

Koike, Y. (2014). Limit theorems for the pre-averaged hayashi–yoshida estimator with random sampling. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 124(8):2699–2753.

Koike, Y. et al. (2016). Quadratic covariation estimation of an irregularly observed semimartingale with jumps and noise. *Bernoulli*, 22(3):1894–1936.

Levine, M., Wang, X., and Zou, J. (2015a). Asymptotic properties of functionals of increments of a continuous semimartingale with stochastic sampling times. Technical report, Purdue University and Worcester Polytechnic.

Levine, M., Wang, X., and Zou, J. (2015b). Realized kernel estimation of integrated volatility with stochastic sampling times. Technical report, Purdue University and Worcester Polytechnic.

Li, Y., Zhang, Z., and Zheng, X. (2013). Volatility inference in the presence of both endogenous time and microstructure noise. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 123(7):2696–2727.

Reiß, M. et al. (2011). Asymptotic equivalence for inference on the volatility from noisy observations. *The Annals of Statistics*, 39(2):772–802.

Vetter, M. and Zwingmann, T. (2016). A note on central limit theorems for quadratic variation in case of endogenous observation times. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.07056*.

Zhang, L. (2006). Efficient estimation of stochastic volatility using noisy observations: a multi-scale approach. *Bernoulli*, 12(6):1019–1043.

Zhang, L., Mykland, P. A., and Aït-Sahalia, Y. (2005). A tale of two time scales: determining integrated volatility with noisy high-frequency data. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 100(472):1394–1411.